?BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
%DATED: 01.03.2019
*CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

+W.P.(MD)Nos.2601 of 2019
and 2602 of 2019

#P.Veerasamy
... Petitioner in
W.P(MD).No.2601 of 2019
G.Balamurugan ... Petitioner in
W.P(MD).No.2602 of 2019

Vs

$1.The Secretary,
SP.SP1.125, Inamkasi Reddiyapatti,
Primary Agricultural Co~operative,
Credit Society.

2.The Deputy Registrar,
Co~operative Society,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Regional Manager,
New India Insurance Company Ltd,
70, Feet Road, New Ellis Nagar,
Madurai~625 016. ... Respondents in
both petitions
COMMON PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.7,650/~ per acre towards compensation for crop failure for the season 2016~2017 under the Crop Insurance Scheme for the 14.28 acres, 22.98 acres within the stipulated time by this Court.
In both petitions

!For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sekar
^For R1 & R2 : Mr.K.Mu.Muthu
Additional Government Pleader
For R3 : Mr.G.Prabhu Rajadurai

:COMMON ORDER
Heard the learned counsel on either side.
2. The writ petitioners are agriculturists. They are owning lands in Ondipulinayakkanur Village, Virudhunagar Taluk. The petitioners had insured their crops. They suffered crop failure. However, the first respondent, who is the sponsoring agency, appears to have given erroneous particulars with

regard to the writ petitioners- village. Instead of mentioning the petitioners- village as Ondipulinayakkanur, the first respondent in his proposal had wrongly mentioned the petitioners- village as that of Thathampatti. As a result, the petitioners would not get their due compensation.

3. This Court therefore directs the first respondent to send a revised proposal to the third respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The third respondent shall consider the case of the petitioners and disburse the amounts due as per the Scheme within a period of four weeks, therefore.

4. These Writ Petitions are disposed of accordingly. No costs. Eventhough this Court has issued the directions only in the case of two claimants, it is open to the first respondent to include in the revised proposal the details pertaining to similarly placed farmers also.

To
1.The Secretary,
SP.SP1.125, Inamkasi Reddiyapatti,
Primary Agricultural Co~operative,
Credit Society.

2.The Deputy Registrar,
Co~operative Society,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.